

**REMARKS**

Applicants would like to thank Examiner Smith for the interview on June 3, 2008. The amendments to the claims as presented in the Reply after Final of May 15, 2008 were discussed. Examiner Smith indicated that prior art searching would be required if the enablement rejections had been overcome. Applicants have filed a Request for Continued Examination herewith and respectfully request speedy and favorable resolution for this application.

In response to the Final Office Action mailed January 8, 2008 and in conjunction with a Request for Continued Examination, Applicants submit the following remarks. Claims 1-4, 6, 8-16, and 18-24 are pending in the present application. Claims 5, 7, and 25-29 have been canceled. Claims 1, 6, 13, 18, and 24 have been amended in the present reply. It is believed that no new matter has been added as further elaborated below through detailed citations to the specification pointing out the location in the specification, as filed, of support for language that has been added to the claims. Claim 1 has been amended to remove the words "at least one" and "randomly" in order to simplify argument and streamline prosecution. The amendment to claim 13 tracks the amendments supplied in the Applicants' Amendments and Remarks of October 16, 2007 in response to the Office Action of November 30, 2006, in that the amendment to claim 13 incorporates the same language as the amendments to claim 1 as previously presented. Applicants inadvertently failed to include the amendment to claim 13 in the previous reply. Applicants thank Examiner Smith for the withdrawal of the lack of enablement rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, as to claims 1-4, 6, and 8-12 and the withdrawal of the indefiniteness rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as to claims 1-4 and 8-12. Since the amendments to claim 13 closely track the previously presented